

science and policy for a healthy future

HORIZON2020 Programme Contract No. 733032 HBM4EU

REPORT OF THE WP9 EQUAS

Round 04/2019

Cadmium in blood

Version / date of issue	1 / 13.11.2019
Organiser	Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine (IPASUM) Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nuremberg Henkestr. 9-11 91054 Erlangen GERMANY
Coordinator	Thomas Göen, thomas.goeen@fau.de
Author(s) (IPASUM) Moritz Schäfer (IPASUM), Stefanie Nübler (IPASUM), Karin H. A. Zarrabi (IPASUM)	
Approved by:	Thomas Göen (IPASUM)

Table of contents

Т	able	e of contents	. 2
1	S	Summary	. 3
2	l'	ntroduction	. 4
	2.1	Confidentiality	.4
3	(Control material	. 5
	3.1	Preparation of control material	. 5
	3.2	Homogeneity of control material	. 5
	3.3	Stability of control material	. 5
4	(Drganisational details	. 6
	4.1	Participants	. 6
	4.2	Dispatch and instructions	. 6
	4.3	Deviations from ICI/EQUAS SOPs	. 6
5	٢	Data evaluation	. 7
	5.1	False negatives and <loq< td=""><td>. 7</td></loq<>	. 7
	5.2	Assigned value	.7
	5.3	Target standard deviation	. 8
	5.4	EQUAS standard deviation	. 8
	5.5	Z-scores	. 8
	5.6	Proxy-Z-scores	. 9
6	F	Results and discussion	10
	6.1	Results submitted by participants	10
	6.2	Assigned values and (target) standard deviations	10
	6.3	Assessment of laboratory performance	10
	6.4	Conclusions and recommendations	11
7	F	References	13
Α	рре	endices	
1	ŀ	Iomogeneity data	14
2	S	Stability data	16
3	C	Copy of letter of invitation	18
4	С	copy of letter/instructions sent together with test samples	20
5	N	Aethod information.	21
c	^	esigned values and participant's partemanas	
0	A		۷۷
7	G	Graphical representation of Z-scores	23
8	F	Results of the shipped test samples analysed by the participants	25
9	Ģ	Graphical representation of the expert values and the mean-of-means	26

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 3
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

1 Summary

Within the framework of the HBM4EU project, an External Quality Assurance Scheme (EQUAS) was organized and conducted for the analysis of cadmium in blood.

The study was performed from September 2019 to October 2019.

The HBM4EU QAU selected six expert laboratories for cadmium in blood. Four expert laboratories were from Europe (HBM4EU consortium) and three of them also participated as candidates. Two expert laboratories were from outside Europe (Japan, USA).

In total, 56 laboratories were invited for this fourth round, of which 21 laboratories from 12 countries registered.

The participation in this EQUAS was satisfactory; 18 out of 21 laboratories (86%) submitted their results.

In September 2019, twelve different test samples consisting of 3 mL blood spiked with cadmium at two different concentrations (Cd_{low} , Cd_{high}), six of each concentration, were prepared and sent to the participating expert laboratories for analysis. Each candidate laboratory got two samples, one of each concentration for single analysis.

Homogeneity and stability assessment of the control materials confirmed that the materials were adequately homogeneous and stable.

The expert assigned values were calculated by averaging the values obtained by the expert labs.

The proficiency of the laboratories was assessed through Z-scores calculated using the mean concentration as established by expert laboratories as assigned value, and a fixed fit-for-purpose relative target standard deviation (FFP-RSD_R) of 25%.

The evaluation of Cd_{low} showed that 88% of the results were satisfactory, 12% were questionable and none were unsatisfactory (**Table 1**). The evaluation of Cd_{high} showed that 100% of the results were satisfactory. (**Table 1**).

Number of laboratories with respective results for cadmium in blood in 3 rd EQUAS/round 4					
biomarkerassigned valuesatisfactory (Z-score <2)				unsatisfactory (Z-score >3)	
Cdlow	0.135 ng/mL	14 (88%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	
Cdhigh	0.405 ng/mL	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	

Table 1 Overview of results for Cadmium in blood in 3rd EQUAS/round 4

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 4
Cadmium in blood Round 4			

2 Introduction

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Investigations (ICI) and External Quality Assurance Schemes (EQUAS) are tools to assess the proficiency of laboratories, and the comparability and reliability of analytical methods. Participation in ICI / EQUAS forms an integral part of quality control, in addition to initial and ongoing in-house method validation.

This EQUAS study has been organised within the frame of HBM4EU as part of the Quality Assurance program for biomonitoring analyses. Within HBM4EU, participation in ICI/EQUAS exercises is mandatory for laboratories that will analyse HBM4EU samples.

This report describes the 4th round of proficiency testing for cadmium in blood and was organised by the Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine (IPASUM) at Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nuremberg.

For this 3rd EQUAS, expert laboratories had to be selected according to the selection criteria described in HBM4EU-SOP-QA-001 and in agreement with the QAU.

The selection criteria included:

- Number of years of experience with the biomarker/matrix combination of interest.
- Application of highly sensitive and selective analytical techniques for analysis.
- Use of isotope-labelled standards for quantification.
- Availability of in-house validation reports, data on on-going intra-laboratory performance, ISO17025 accreditation for the biomarker of interest.
- Success rate in inter-laboratory comparisons, external quality assessment schemes or at least comparative results in application studies.

EQUAS does not require a certain number of participants, because the performance evaluation is not based on the participants' results but on assigned values and tolerance ranges as derived from the analysis data from the expert laboratories.

The expert-assigned value is the target value based on analysis results obtained from analysis of the control material by at least three expert laboratories (see HBM4EU-SOP-QA-001). The expertassigned values were calculated by averaging the values obtained by the expert labs.

2.1 Confidentiality

In this report, the identity of the participants and the information provided by them is treated as confidential. However, lab codes of the participants will be disclosed to the HBM-QAU for performance assessment.

3 Control material

3.1 Preparation of control material

For control material, surrogate material was used. It consists of bovine blood of German origin in EDTA solution and sodium azide. Animal health conditions are certified. The stock solution (Cadmium ICP standard, $Cd(NO_3)_2$ in HNO₃ 2-3%, 1000 mg/L, Merck) was diluted into two different concentrations and the addition to the native control material resulted in the intended concentration in control material (Cd_{low} , Cd_{high}). The two spiked control materials were aliquoted (3 mL each) into tubes with caps (57x15.3 mm, polypropylene, Sarstedt). The tubes were stored in a freezer (\leq -18°C) until transportation. The two different concentrations (Cd_{low} , Cd_{high}) were measured using ICP-MS (see analysis method in **Appendix 5**). The measured concentrations are shown in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 of this report.

3.2 Homogeneity of control material

Ten tubes of each concentration of the control material (Cd_{low} , Cd_{high}) were randomly selected from the freezer (\leq -18°C). The thawed samples were re-homogenised by vortex shaking and were analysed in duplicate using ICP-MS (analysis method see **Appendix 5**). The homogeneity was evaluated according to ISO 13528:2015, Fearn et al [2001] and Thompson [2000]. The results are presented in **Appendix 1**. The conclusion is that no outliers are detected, homogeneity is adequate and the method is suitable.

3.3 Stability of control material

On the day of preparation of the control materials, six randomly selected test samples of Cd_{low} and six randomly selected test samples of Cd_{high} were stored at -80 °C. The assumption is that under these conditions, the biomarker (Cd) is stable in blood. On the last day of the deadline for submission of results by the participants (October 22, 2019), six test samples of each level (stored at -80 °C) and six samples of each level (stored at -18 °C) were thawed and re-homogenised by vortex shaking. Next, all samples were analysed using ICP-MS (analysis method see **Appendix 5**). The stability was evaluated according to HBM4EU-SOP-QA-002 and using the Excel-sheet "HBM4EU ICI-EQUAS stability test CM v1". The results are presented in **Appendix 2**. No consequential instabilities and no statistical differences were detected.

4 Organisational details

4.1 Participants

For the organisation of the 3rd EQUAS, IPASUM invited six selected expert laboratories in agreement with the QAU and according to HBM4EU-SOP-QA-001. Four expert laboratories were from Europe (HBM4EU consortium) and three of them also participated as candidates. Two expert laboratories were from outside Europe (Japan, USA). IPASUM contacted the six selected expert laboratories and sent them invitation letters by e-mail on September 5, 2019 (see **Appendix 3**). It was indicated that participation would be free of charge and that those who subscribed to this EQUAS would receive a kit containing the test materials needed for analysis. Test results had to be submitted within the stipulated deadline (October 22, 2019).

A list of 56 candidate laboratories from different countries eligible for the analysis of cadmium had been compiled by the Work Package (WP) Task 9.2 leaders and made available to the institution organizing the respective EQUAS.

Invitation letters were thus sent by e-mail to 56 candidate laboratories on September 5, 2019 (see **Appendix 3**). It was indicated that participation would be free of charge and that those who subscribed to this EQUAS would receive a kit containing the test materials needed for analysis. The condition for participation was that the test results had to be submitted within the stipulated deadline (October 22, 2019).

Twenty-one laboratories from 12 countries out of the 56 laboratories (38%) in the revised candidate list indicated their interest in participating in this EQUAS and sent their registration forms to IPASUM with their agreement to abide by the conditions for participation. These laboratories received an individual laboratory code to report their measurement results (see **Appendix 8**).

Eighteen of the 21 potential participants (86%) performed the assays and submitted their results. Fifteen participants reported their results within the stipulated deadline (October 22, 2019), while three participants reported with a delay (see **Appendix 8**).

4.2 Dispatch and instructions

Test materials were dispatched to the participants under ambient conditions on September 24, 2019. Each candidate received two test samples spiked with the biomarker at different levels, one of each concentration (Cd_{low}, Cd_{high}). Each sample consisted of approximately 3 mL blood.

Moreover, a letter with instructions on sample handling (instruction letter, see **Appendix 4**), a sample receipt form to be sent back to IPASUM upon receipt of the test material as well as a result submission form and a method information form were sent to the participants by e-mail. The latter form was used to extract relevant information related to the analytical method used for quantification.

Participants were asked to perform a single analysis of each sample using the same procedure as will be used for analysis of samples in the frame of HMB4EU and to report results following the instructions given.

4.3 Deviations from ICI/EQUAS SOPs

For this 3rd EQUAS, the HBM4EU-QA-SOPs were followed. There were no deviations from these SOPs.

5 Data evaluation

5.1 False positives and <LOQ

Classification of false positives and biomarkers reported as "<LOQ-value" or "not detected" (ND) was done as described in HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003.

Page: 7

A result was assigned as false positive if all of the following conditions applied:

1) the biomarker was below the LOQ value as applied by the organiser, the expert laboratories, and the majority of the participants.

2) the biomarker was reported by the participant at a level clearly exceeding the LOQs mentioned under 1.

If a biomarker is reported as "<LOQ-value", <u>AND</u> an assigned value could be established for the biomarker in the control material, a further assessment was done to verify whether this result might be a false negative and to judge whether the LOQ is considered adequate (low enough) for analysis within the frame of HBM4EU. A result is a false negative if the LOQ of a biomarker is well below the assigned value, but the laboratory did not report a quantitative value. The LOQ is considered not adequate (too high) if:

1) the LOQ is substantially above the assigned value

2) the assigned value represents a realistic concentration of real samples in the frame of HBM4EU3) quantitative determination is feasible by the majority of laboratories

In order to judge "<LOQ" results in a quantitative way, 'proxy-Z-scores' were calculated as described in 5.6.

5.2 Assigned value

For EQUAS studies, the concentration established by expert laboratories is used as the assigned value as described in SOP HBM4EU-SOP-QA-001.

The HBM4EU QAU selected six expert laboratories for cadmium in blood. Four expert laboratories were from Europe (HBM4EU consortium) and also participated as candidates. Two expert laboratories were from outside Europe (Japan, USA). The expert laboratories received six samples of the same control material (Cd_{low}, Cd_{high}). Upon receipt of their results and method information, the acceptability of the results for establishment of the expert value was verified. The expert value was determined as described in HBM4EU-QA-001.

The individual means and standard deviations for each expert laboratory were calculated. Then, the mean of all individual means of the six expert laboratories (mean-of-means, mom) and the standard deviation of the mom (SD_{mom}) were calculated. The relative uncertainty of the mean of the means is given by:

u = RSD / sqrt(N)

with u = relative uncertainty of the mean of the mean concentrations from the expert labs

RSD = relative standard deviation of the mean of the mean concentrations

N = the number of expert labs (after exclusion of outliers if applicable)

The mean-of-means derived from the expert laboratories is considered suitable for use as assigned value in EQUAS studies if $u \le 0.7^* \sigma_T$ ($\sigma_T = 25\%$ as described in 5.3).

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 8
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

If $u>0.7^*\sigma_T$, the individual means are checked for outliers. When an individual expert mean is identified as an outlier, it is rejected from the data set and the relative uncertainty is calculated again. If the condition $u\le0.7^*\sigma_T$ is still not met, then the uncertainty of the expert-derived mean is too high to be used as assigned value. In this case, no EQUAS assessment of participants' performance is possible for the applicable biomarker. This is also the case if the number of (remaining) individual expert means is less than three.

Only if the EQUAS assessment of the participants' performance is not possible, is the consensus value of the ICI used as assigned value and calculated as described in SOP HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003. In brief, the consensus value and its uncertainty were calculated from the results submitted by the participants using robust statistics to minimize the influence of outliers. Two criteria were evaluated before calculating performance's score:

- if the uncertainty was not significant ($^{u \leq 0.3\sigma_T}$), z-scores were then calculated, otherwise another kind of performance's scores was calculated taking into account the uncertainty.
- if the uncertainty did not meet the following criteria: $u \leq 0.7\sigma_T$, no kind of score was provided.

5.3 Target standard deviation

For calculation of the Z-scores, a fit-for-purpose relative target standard deviation (FFP-RSD_R) of 25% of the assigned value was used as target standard deviation. This was the default indicated in HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003 and considered appropriate based on the outcome of the 1^{st} round.

5.4 EQUAS standard deviation

To gain insight into the actual inter-laboratory variability of the biomarker analysis in this study, the robust relative standard deviation (RSD_R) was calculated based on the participants' results, as described in HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003. For this, the results of the expert laboratories were not included.

5.5 Z-scores

Z-scores were calculated according to SOP HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003.

 $Z = \frac{x - C}{\sigma_T}$

with: Z = Z-score for the submitted analysis result; x = result submitted by the laboratory; C = expert-assigned value; σ_T = target standard deviation, here 0.25*C

In accordance with ISO 13528 and ISO 17043 and the deliverable D 9.4 "*The Quality Assurance/Quality Control Scheme in the HBM4EU project*", Z-scores are classified as presented in **Table 2.**

(1)

Table 2 Classification of Z-scores

$ Z \leq 2$	satisfactory	
2 < Z < 3	questionable	
$ Z \ge 3$	unsatisfactory	

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 9
Cadmium in blood Round 4			

5.6 Proxy-Z-scores

'Proxy-Z-scores' are used to judge "<LOQ" results in a quantitative way (see 5.1). The proxy-Z-scores' are calculated using the LOQ-value as result and equation (1). If no LOQ was specified, zero was used.

Proxy-Z-scores are indicated in the report as between brackets and are for information. They are not included in the graphical representations of z-scores of the participants. The interpretation is as follows:

- **proxy-Z ≤ -3** based on the LOQ provided, the laboratory should have been able to detect and quantify the biomarker. The result is classified as a false negative (FN) and is interpreted as 'unsatisfactory' performance.
- -3 ≤ proxy-Z < -2 based on the LOQ provided, it is highly likely that the laboratory should have been able to detect and quantify the biomarker. The result is classified as a false negative (FN) and should be interpreted as 'questionable'.
- -2 ≤ proxy-Z ≤ 2 -2 to 0: based on the assigned value and the LOQ provided, the result cannot be classified as false negative.

0 to 2: benchmark: the LOQ is in the range of what is analytically feasible*.

- 2 < proxy-Z < 3 benchmark: the LOQ is high compared to what is analytically feasible* and might be high in relation to HBM4EU analysis. The laboratory should consider to lower their LOQ.
- **proxy-Z ≥3** benchmark: the LOQ is too high compared to what is analytically feasible* and might be too high in relation to HBM4EU analysis. The laboratory should consider to lower their LOQ.

* the analytical feasibility is derived from the ICI/EQUAS results. When an assigned value can be determined, this means that reliable quantitative determination at a certain low level is feasible.

6 Results and discussion

6.1 Results submitted by participants

In total, 21 laboratories from 12 countries agreed to participate in this study. In the end, 18 out of 21 participants (86%) submitted their results. Laboratories were also asked to provide LOQs.

Appendix 8 gives an overview of results and LOQs submitted by the participants as well as reasons for delayed submission.

Results indicated as `not detected' (ND, see Appendix 8):

For **Cd**_{low}, two participants (QR/204 and QR/208) indicated ND and their LOQs were used as input for the EQUAS evaluation. Proxy-Z-scores could be calculated for both laboratories.

For **Cd**_{high}, one laboratory (QR/208) indicated ND and its LOQ was used as input for the EQUAS evaluation. A proxy-Z-score could be calculated for this participant.

False positive results: No participant detected a false positive in Cd_{low} and Cd_{high}.

Methods:

In almost all cases the samples were analysed by ICP-MS followed by AAS. For sample preparation, most laboratories used no digestion while the others used acid digestion. Almost all participating laboratories used a single quad as detection system, the rest used a triple quad or quadrupol. As internal standard, the majority of participating laboratories applied indium or iridium and for calibration, most candidates used an external calibrant (solvent-based), followed by standard addition and external calibrant (matrix-based). In this round, all expert labs and all participants were asked to provide information on the removal molybdenum oxide interferences. Twenty-two percent removed molybdenum oxide interferences, 45% did not and 33% did not provide any information on it.

6.2 Assigned values and (target) standard deviations

The assigned value and its uncertainty (u), the robust relative standard deviation of the participant's data (study RSD_R), and the fit-for-purpose (FFP) target standard deviation for each of the control materials are included in Appendix 6.

Graphical representations of the expert values and the mean-of-means for Cd_{low} and for Cd_{high} are shown in **Appendix 9**.

For this report, the results from all expert laboratories were included in the calculation of the meanof-means, which was then used as assigned value. For the control material Cd_{low} the assigned value was 0.135 ng/mL and u was <u>+</u> 2.5%. For the control material Cd_{high} the assigned value was 0.405 ng/mL and u was <u>+</u> 1.9%.

6.3 Assessment of laboratory performance

Z-scores were calculated and graphical representations of the Z-scores for Cd_{low} and Cd_{high} are provided in **Appendix 7**.

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 11
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Eighteen laboratories out of 21 registered candidate laboratories reported results. Fourteen laboratories (88%) have satisfactory Z-scores in Cd_{low} and 17 participants (100%) achieved satisfactory Z-scores in Cd_{high} .

In case a laboratory analysed a biomarker and reported '<LOQ value', a proxy-Z-score was calculated. These proxy-Z-scores are indicated in **Appendix** 6 as a Z-score between brackets and were not included in the graphs in **Appendix 7**.

6.4 Conclusions and recommendations

The overall participation in the HBM4EU EQUAS Round 4 was successful. Twenty-one laboratories out of the 56 laboratories (38%) in the candidate list confirmed their participation in the EQUAS. Eighteen of these 21 registered candidate laboratories reported results, representing a participation rate of 86%.

Regarding the quantification of cadmium in blood, the participants achieved in Cd_{low} 88% satisfactory, 12% questionable and 0% unsatisfactory results. In Cd_{high}, the participants achieved 100% satisfactory results.

A direct comparison of the overall performance of the laboratories with that of the third round is not entirely possible, because there were more laboratories participating in the third round than in the fourth round.

The percentage of satisfactory Z-scores at both levels was 84% in the first ICI / round 1, 64% in the first EQUAS / round 2 and 81% in the second EQUAS / round 3.

Table 3 below gives an overview of the performance of the individual laboratories in this round for cadmium in blood.

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 12
Cadmium in blood. Round 4			

Table 3 Performance of the candidate laboratories for cadmium in blood

lah aada	LOQ	04	
Lab. code	[ng/mL]	Calow	COhigh
QR/101	0.180	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/105	0.125	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/112	0.020	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/115	0.060	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/124	0.010	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/129	0.032	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/130	0.010	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/143	0.200	questionable	satisfactory
QR/201	0.100	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/202	0.050	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/203	0.030	questionable	satisfactory
QR/204	0.200	ND	satisfactory
QR/205	0.010	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/207	0.028	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/208	0.300	ND	ND
QR/212	0.100	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/213	0.150	satisfactory	satisfactory
QR/301	0.100	satisfactory	satisfactory

ND = not detected / < LOQ

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 13
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

7 References

- [1] Analytical Methods Committee, 1989a, Robust statistics How not to reject outliers Part 1. Basic concepts, Analyst, 114, 1693-1697.
- [2] Analytical Methods Committee, 1989b, Robust statistics How not to reject outliers Part 2. Interlaboratory trials, Analyst, 114, 1699-1702
- [3] HBM4EU-SOP-QA-001 "Organisation of Interlaboratory Comparison Investigations (ICI) and External Quality Assurance Schemes (EQUAS) of interlaboratory studies"
- [4] HBM4EU-SOP-QA-002 "Preparation of test materials for ICI / EQUAS"
- [5] HBM4EU-SOP-QA-003 "Evaluation of ICI / EQUAS results"
- [6] HBM4EU-SOP-QA-004 "Reporting of ICI / EQUAS studies"
- [7] ISO/IEC 17043:2010, Conformity assessment General requirements for proficiency testing
- [8] ISO 13528, 2015, Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison.
- [9] Official Methods of Analysis Program Manual, 2002, Appendix D: Guidelines for Collaborative Study Procedures to Validate Characteristics of a Method of Analysis. Association of Analytical Communities International. http://www.aoac.org/vmeth/Manual_Part_6.pdf.
- [10] Thompson, M., 2000, Recent trends in inter-laboratory precision at ppb and sub-ppb concentrations in relation to fitness for purpose criteria in proficiency testing, Analyst, 125, 385-386.
- [11] Thompson M., Ellison R. and Wood, R., 2006, The International Harmonized Protocol for the Proficiency Testing of Analytical Chemistry Laboratories, Pure Appl. Chem, 78(1), 145-196.

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 14
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 1. Homogeneity data

Homogenei Version HBM4EU v1	ity								
Control material:		bl	boo	Target standard deviation:					
Analyte:		Cadmium		Fit-for-purpose RSD FFP if you want to use Horwitz/Thomp				(25% is defau son,	ult value)
Preparation of cor	trol material:	l: low				in onit ee	artto		
10 randomly chos	en test samples	, analysed in dup	licate						
 ISO 13528:200 Fearn, T. and N Thompson M., 2 relation to fitnes 	5 1. Thompson, 21 2000, Recent tr s for purpose	001, A New Test ends in inter-labor criteria in proficier	for 'Sufficient homoge ratory precision at pp icy testing, Analyst, '	eneity', An b and sub 125, 385-3	alyst, 126, 14 -ppb concentr 86	14-1417 ations in			
	replicate 1	replicate 2	x.	20	<i>w</i> .	W. 2	$(X, -7)^2$		
1	0.209	0.155		0.182	0.054	0.003	0.000	91.	
2	0.200	0.176		0.188	0.024	0.001	0.000		
3	0.197	0.192		0.195	0.005	0.000	0.000		
4	0.201	0.203		0.202	-0.002	0.000	0.001		
6	0.100	0.145		0.155	0.071	0.000	0.000		
7	0.159	0.202		0.181	-0.043	0.002	0.000		
8	0.182	0.146		0.164	0.036	0.001	0.000		
9	0.146	0.145		0.146	0.001	0.000	0.001		
10	0.171	0.166		0.169	0.005	0.000	0.000		
Lowest: Highest Grand mean ∦r): Stdev: VC%:		0.1 0.2 0.1 0.0 13.3	42 µg/kg 09 µg/kg 74 µg/kg 23 µg/kg 3% µg/kg		2=	0.008	0.003		
	Outliers: Con	chran's test]	
	> C = > Ccrit=	0.384 0.602	С	< Ccrit →	No outliers	detecte	d		
	Horwitz [3]:								72
	Mean > 120 p	ob: CV=2(1-½ log	c)	N	lean < 120 ppl	b: σ = 0,2	22c	FFP (fit-for-pu	urpose)
	RSD% =	58.90		F	RSD% =	22		RSD% =	25
	$\sigma_{H} = \sigma_{H}$ used:	0.102		σ	'н =	0.038		σ _H =	0.043
	Homogenite	it [1]:]	
	S,=	0.019							
	s "=	0.019	(within sample st	andard de	viation)				
	s _s =	0.013	(between sample	standard	deviation)				
	critical=	0.013							
	s _s < critical?	→ ACCEPT: Ho	mogeneity adequa	ite					
	$s_w < 0.5^* \sigma_H?$	→ ACCEPT: Me	ethod suited						

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 15
Cadmium in blood Round 4			

Appendix 1 Homogeneity data (continued)



WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 04/2019	Version: 1	Date of issue: 13.11.2019	Page: 16
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 2 Stability data

EU ICI-EQUAS st	tability test CM	v1					
ty test in frame of	FICI-EQUAS						
Material: Storage:	Cd (blood) minus 80 °C	minus 18 °C					
Biomarker	Cadmium low						
	t=0 (storage)	t=a (analysis)	analysis date	time (days)	μg/L	n	std dev
dates:	10.09.2019	19.11.2019	10.09.2019	0	0.15	6	0.009
values:	0.150	0.170	19.11.2019	70	0.15	6	0.019
	0.160	0.150	2 Control of Control o				
	0.140	0.130	x0-xa=	0.00			
	0.140	0.150					
	0.150	0.180	test 'consequential instability	Horwitz/Thompson	Fit-for-p	urpose (FFP)	
	0.160	0.140	xav-yav =<0,30H				
			dH=	0.033		0.0375	
			0.3*oH=	0.010		0.01125	
			x0-xa<0,3*σH? No conseque	ential instability detected	No cons	equential instability detect	ted
							22
			test 'significant difference':				
			F=	4.33			
			Fcrit=	5.05			
			Significant difference? No significant	t difference in std detected			
			sed^2=	0.01			
			n=	10			
			std difference=	0.01			
			t=	0.40			
			t-crit=	2.23			
number=	- 6	6	Significant difference? No statistic i	nstability detected			
average=	0.150	0.153					
std dev=	• 0.009	0.019					

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 17
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 2 Stability data (continued)

3M4EU ICI-EQUAS st	tability test CM v	/1					
ability test in frame of	ICI-EQUAS						
Material: Storage: Biomarker	Cd (blood) minus 80 °C Cadmium high	minus 18 °C					
	t=0 (opslag)	t=a (analvse)	analysis date	time (days)	µa/L	n	std dev
dates:	10.09.2019	19,11,2019	10.09.2019	0	0.39	6	0.015
values:	0.390	0.380	19.11.2019	70	0.39	6	0.014
	0.400 0.360	0.390 0.380	x0-xa=	0.00			
	0.390	0.410					
	0.380	0.370	test consequential instability xgem-ygem =<0,3σH	Horwitz/Inompson	<u>Fit-tor-j</u>	ourpose (FFP)	
			all-	0.025		0.006666667	
			0.2*#	0.005		0.030000007	
			x0-xa<0,3*σH? No con	sequential instability detected	No cons	sequential instability detect	led
			test 'significant difference':			2 N AD	
			F=	1.19			
			Fcrit=	5.05			
			Significant difference? No sign	ificant difference in std detected			
			sed^2=	0.01			
			n=	10			
			std difference=	0.01			
			t=	0.20			
			t-crit=	2.23			
number=	6	6	Significant difference? No stat	istic instability detected			
average=	0.387	0.385					
std dev=	0.015	0.014					
2000-000 C		10000000000					

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 04/2019	Version: 1	Date of issue: 13.11.2019	Page: 18
Cadmium in blood. Round 4			

Appendix 3 Copy of letter of invitation

HBM4EU: Announcement / invitation to participate in ICI / EQUAS study Cd/Round 4

Title of ICI/EQUAS: Cadmium in blood and urine

Dear Colleagues,

within the frame of HBM4EU the

Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine

Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg

Henkestr. 9-11

91054 Erlangen

Germany

announces the 4th round of ICI/EQUAS for the determination of Cadmium in blood and urine. The aim of ICI/EQUAS exercises is to provide laboratories with an assessment of their analytical performance and reliability of their data in comparison with other laboratories and/or expert laboratories. This will aid in the quality improvement of analysis in human biomonitoring at each of the laboratories.

Test samples

The matrices will be blood and urine. Accordingly, the participants will receive:

- 2 different materials of blood (1 sample of 3 mL each) for determination of cadmium in blood and/or
- 2 different materials of urine (1 sample of 5 mL each) for determination of cadmium in urine

Target biomarkers

The biomarker potentially present in the test samples is Cadmium. We recommend at least the following LOQ for the parameters:

LOQ for Cadmium in blood: 0.15 µg/L LOQ for Cadmium in urine: 0.05 µg/L

Calendar: Registration deadline Distribution of test samples (projected) Deadline for submission of results (projected)

September 20, 2019 September 24, 2019 October 22, 2019

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 19
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Please note that the date specified for the distribution of the samples is only an approximate, and not a fixed date. We will notify you immediately as soon as we ship the samples.

Registration

For registration, please find attached a registration form each for Cadmium in blood and for Cadmium in urine. Please send them back to us by mail in case you want to register. Upon registration, the participant will receive a lab-code to be used for submission of results.

Fee

For partners and linked third parties of HBM4EU, participation is free of charge. Please note that the participant is responsible for custom clearance and associated costs if applicable.

Confidentiality:

All laboratory-specific information will be treated confidentially, and will never be disclosed to third parties (government, accreditation bodies) except the HBM4EU QAU, without permission of the laboratory

Contact information organiser:

Coordinators:

- Prof. Dr. Thomas Göen
- Stefanie Nübler
- Moritz Schäfer
- Karin H. A. Zarrabi

Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg Henkestr. 9-11 91054 Erlangen Germany

Email: ipasum-hbm4eu@fau.de

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 20
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 4 Copy of letter/instructions sent together with test samples HBM4EU: Instruction letter ICI / EQUAS study <u>Cd in blood/Round 4</u>

Title of ICI/EQUAS: Cadmium in blood

Dear participant,

Thank you for participation in HBM4EU ICI/EQUAS study Cd in blood/Round 4 for the determination of Cadmium in blood.

You will receive a parcel containing 2 test samples spiked with the biomarker at 2 levels, 1 of each concentration. Each sample consists of approximately 3 mL blood.

The parcel will be shipped on September 24, 2019 under ambient conditions.

Instructions:

- Upon receipt, please check the content for any damage/leaking of the containers, complete the sample receipt form and return it to the organiser.

- Store the test samples under frozen (-18°C) conditions until analysis.
- Analyse the samples for the biomarkers indicated in the invitation letter ref/05.09.2019.
- Thaw the samples and re-homogenise them according to your own procedure.

- Analyse the samples using the same procedure as will be used for analysis of samples in the frame of HBM4EU.

- Carry out a single analysis for each sample.
- For submission of results and method information use the forms provided.
- The deadline for submission of analysis results and method details is October 22, 2019.

If you have any questions or need any assistance, please contact:

Karin Zarrabi, Stefanie Nübler or Moritz Schäfer

Email: ipasum-hbm4eu@fau.de

Tel.: + 49 (0)9131/85 26 146, /85 26 145

Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg Henkestrasse 9-11 91054 Erlangen Germany

Prof. Dr. Thomas Göen (for the ICI/EQUAS organisers)

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 21
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 5 Method information form in ICI / EQUAS study Cd/Round 4

Title of ICI/EQUAS: Cadmium in blood

Laboratory code	IPASUM	
ISO17025 accredited	no	
SAMPLE PREPARATION		
amount sample	0.200	mL
Extraction		
- pH adjustment		
- LLE; solvent(s) / time / shaking		
- SPE; material		
Digestion		
INSTRUMENTAL ANALYSIS		
AAS	no	
Wavelength		
Background compensation		
Matrix modifier		
Dilution factor		
Other remarks		
ICP	yes	
Dilution	1:20	
Nebulizer	glass cyclonic	
Reagent gas	Argon	
Masses monitored	Cd ¹¹⁴	
Detection		
MS	triple quad	
OES		
Quantification		
Use of internal standard (IS)	yes	
- response normalised to IS	yes	
Calibration	external calibrant (matrix based)	
	multi level	
Correction for recovery	no	
Removed interferences with	no	
molybdenum oxides?		
Identification criteria used		
- ion ratio tolerance	% relative/absolute deviation from reference standard	
- other		

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 04/2019	Version: 1	Date of issue: 13.11.2019	Page: 22
Cadmium in blood Round 4			

Appendix 6 Assigned values and participant's performance

HBM4EU 04/2019

Cd (blood)

control material	Cdio	w	Cdhig	gh
assigned value from five expert labs	0.135 ng/mL		0.405 ng/mL	
expert standard deviation	0.008 ng/mL		0.019 ng/mL	
uncertainty of assigned value (u)	2.5%	6	1.9%	, D
relative target standard deviation (σ_T)	25%	, D	25%)
0.7 * σ _τ	17.59	%	17.5%	6
study RSD _R	17%	D	9%	
laboratory code	value	Z-score	value	Z-score
QR/101	0.108	-0.811	0.412	0.067
QR/105	0.163	0.814	0.418	0.126
QR/112	0.142	0.189	0.418	0.121
QR/115	0.124	-0.333	0.387	-0.178
QR/124	0.138	0.075	0.382	-0.229
QR/129	0.136	0.016	0.434	0.284
QR/130	0.124	-0.338	0.381	-0.239
QR/143	0.207	2.113	0.468	0.619
QR/201	0.155	0.577	0.461	0.550
QR/202	0.129	-0.190	0.434	0.284
QR/203	0.236	2.969	0.470	0.639
QR/204	LOQ = 0.200	(1.906)	0.370	-0.348
QR/205	0.177	1.227	0.474	0.679
QR/207	0.136	0.016	0.388	-0.170
QR/208	LOQ = 0.300	(4.859)	LOQ = 0.300	(-1.039)
QR/212	0.180	1.316	0.440	0.343
QR/213	0.147	0.341	0.415	0.096
QR/301	0.152	0.489	0.414	0.086

proxy Z-score between brackets (x) was calculated using the LOQ as result (see 5.6)

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 04/2019	Version: 1	Date of issue: 13.11.2019	Page: 23
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 7 Graphical representation of the Z-scores



WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 24
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 7 Graphical representation of the Z-scores (continued)



Appendix 8 Results and LOQs and reasons for delayed submission

Lab.code Iow high LOQ delayed reporting EX/100 0.173 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.415 0.401 0.429 0.386 0.148 0.168 0.164 0.150 0.469 0.417 0.416 0.423 0.144 0.153 0.120 0.134 0.133 0.437 0.446 0.394 0.416 EX/102 0.157 0.142 0.112 0.419 0.445 0.402 0.050 0.140 0.132 0.113 0.426 0.404 0.415 0.050 0.140 0.132 0.113 0.378 0.374 0.378 0.370 0.133 0.127 0.126 0.131 0.378 0.374 0.382 0.028 EX/103 0.139 0.142 0.132 0.124 0.385 0.390 0.387 0.382 0.147 0.137 0.130 0.134 0.376 0.394 0.386 0.387 0.147 0.1
EX/100 0.173 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.415 0.401 0.429 0.386 0.148 0.168 0.164 0.150 0.469 0.417 0.416 0.423 0.144 0.153 0.120 0.134 0.133 0.437 0.446 0.394 0.416 EX/102 0.157 0.142 0.112 0.419 0.445 0.402 0.050 0.140 0.132 0.113 0.426 0.404 0.415 0.050 EX/103 0.133 0.127 0.126 0.131 0.378 0.374 0.378 0.370 0.139 0.142 0.132 0.124 0.385 0.390 0.387 0.382 0.028 0.147 0.137 0.130 0.134 0.376 0.394 0.386 0.387 QR/101 0.108 0.108 0.412 0.180 0.412 0.180
EX/102 0.157 0.142 0.112 0.419 0.445 0.402 0.050 0.140 0.132 0.113 0.426 0.404 0.415 0.050 0.133 0.127 0.126 0.131 0.378 0.374 0.378 0.370 0.139 0.142 0.132 0.124 0.385 0.390 0.387 0.382 0.147 0.137 0.130 0.134 0.376 0.394 0.386 0.387 QR/101 0.108 0.412 0.180 0.412 0.180
EX/103 0.127 0.126 0.131 0.378 0.374 0.378 0.370 0.139 0.142 0.132 0.124 0.385 0.390 0.387 0.382 0.028 0.147 0.137 0.130 0.134 0.376 0.394 0.386 0.387 QR/101 0.108 0.412 0.180
QR/101 0.108 0.412 0.180
QR/105 0.163 0.418 0.125
QR/112 0.144 0.137 0.137 0.423 0.412 0.410 0.138 0.143 0.152 0.429 0.410 0.421 0.020
0.116 0.121 0.120 0.136 0.398 0.399 0.381 0.402 0.119 0.128 0.115 0.119 0.382 0.418 0.377 0.382 0.125 0.138 0.141 0.112 0.391 0.390 0.352 0.375
QR/124 0.138 0.382 0.010
QR/129 0.136 0.434 0.032
QR/130 0.124 0.381 0.010
QR/143 0.207 0.468 0.200
QR/201 0.155 0.461 0.100 X
QR/202 0.129 0.434 0.050
QR/203 0.236 0.470 0.030
QR/204 ND 0.370 0.200
QR/205 0.177 0.474 0.010 X
QR/20/ 0.136 0.388 0.028
QR/208 ND ND 0.300
QT/212 0.180 0.440 0.100 OP/213 0.147 0.415 0.450 V
OR/301 0.152 0.415 0.150 X

ND = not detected / < LOQ

X = due to technical problems

WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 04/2019	Version: 1	Date of issue: 13.11.2019	Page: 26
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 9 Graphical representation of the expert values and the mean-of-means



WP9 EQUAS Report, Round 03/2018	Version: 1	Date: 13.11.2019	Page: 27
Cadmium in blood, Round 4			

Appendix 9 Graphical representation of the expert values and the mean-of-means (continued)

